Arf closure versus strict closure

Naoki Endo Purdue University

based on the works jointly with

E. Celikbas, O. Celikbas, C. Ciupercă, S. Goto, R. Isobe, and N. Matsuoka

Commutative algebra online workshop

November 22, 2020

3 🕨 🖌 3 🕨

1. Introduction

Let

- S/R an extension of commutative rings
- \overline{R} the integral closure of R in Q(R).

We define

 $R \subseteq R^* = \{x \in S \mid x \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes x \text{ in } S \otimes_R S\} \subseteq S$

and we say that

- *R* is *strictly closed in S*, if $R = R^*$ holds in *S*.
- *R* is *strictly closed*, if $R = R^*$ holds in \overline{R} .

Notice that

- $(R^*)^* = R^*$ in *S*
- $R^* \subseteq T^*$ in S for all $R \subseteq T \subseteq S$.

- 3

Example 1.1

Let S = k[X, Y] be the polynomial ring over a field k. (1) Let $n \ge 3$ and set

$$R = k[X^{n-i}Y^i \mid 0 \le i \le n, \ i \ne 1].$$

Then *R* is a strictly closed ring with dim R = 2. (2) Let $R = k[X^4, XY^3, Y^4]$. Then $R^* = k[X^4, XY^3, X^7Y^5, Y^4]$ in \overline{R} .

Example 1.2

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a RLR with dim R = 2. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y)$, $I = (x^3, xy^4, y^5)$. Then the Rees algebra

$$\mathcal{R}(I) = \mathcal{R}[It]$$

is strictly closed, where t is an indeterminate.

3

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Example 1.3

Let S = k[[t]] be the formal power series ring over a field k. Consider

$$R = k[[t^3, t^8, t^{13}]] \subseteq T = k[[t^3, t^5]] \subseteq S.$$

Then *R* is NOT strictly closed in $S = \overline{R}$, but it is strictly closed in *T*.

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

- In 1949, Cahit Arf explored the multiplicity sequences of curve singularities.
- In 1971, J. Lipman defined "Arf rings" for one-dimensional CM semi-local rings.

Definition 1.4 (Lipman, 1971)

Let *R* be a CM semi-local ring with dim R = 1. Then *R* is called *an Arf ring*, if the following hold:

- (1) Every integrally closed open ideal I has a principal reduction.
- (2) If $x, y, z \in R$ s.t. x is a NZD on R and $\frac{y}{x}, \frac{z}{x} \in \overline{R}$,

then $yz/x \in R$.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 三日

Notice that

```
(1) I^{n+1} = aI^n for \exists n \ge 0 and \exists a \in I.
```

```
(2) Stability of I (if reduction exists).
```

Hence

Theorem 1.5 (Lipman, 1971) Let R be a CM semi-local ring with dim R = 1. Then R is Arf \iff Every integrally closed open ideal is stable.

When R is a CM local ring with dim R = 1,

if R is an Arf ring, then R has minimal multiplicity.

・ロト ・ 一下 ・ ト ・ ト ・ ト

We assume

- (R,\mathfrak{m}) is a Noetherian complete local domain with dim R=1
- R/\mathfrak{m} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0

Lipman proved:

R is saturated \implies R has minimal multiplicity.

Moreover, among all Arf rings between R and \overline{R} ,

 \exists the smallest one Arf(R), called Arf closure.

Lipman extends the results of C. Arf about multiplicity sequences.

Proposition–Definition 1.6

Let R be a CM semi-local ring with dim R = 1. Suppose \overline{R} is a finitely generated R-module. Then, among all Arf rings between R and \overline{R} , there is the smallest Arf ring Arf(R), called the Arf closure of R.

Conjecture 1.7 (Zariski, 1971)

Let R be a CM semi-local ring with dim R = 1. Suppose \overline{R} is a finitely generated R-module. Then the equality

 $\operatorname{Arf}(R) = R^*$

holds in \overline{R} .

• Zariski's conjecture holds if R contains a field (Lipman).

Theorem 1.8 (Main result)

Zariski's conjecture holds.

Naoki Endo (Purdue University)

Proposition–Definition 1.6

Let R be a CM semi-local ring with dim R = 1. Suppose \overline{R} is a finitely generated R-module. Then, among all Arf rings between R and \overline{R} , there is the smallest Arf ring Arf(R), called the Arf closure of R.

Conjecture 1.7 (Zariski, 1971)

Let R be a CM semi-local ring with dim R = 1. Suppose \overline{R} is a finitely generated R-module. Then the equality

 $\operatorname{Arf}(R) = R^*$

holds in \overline{R} .

• Zariski's conjecture holds if *R* contains a field (Lipman).

Theorem 1.8 (Main result)

Zariski's conjecture holds.

Naoki Endo (Purdue University)

2. Proof of Zariski's conjecture

Theorem 2.1

Let R be a CM semi-local ring with dim R = 1. Then TFAE.

- (1) R is a strictly closed ring.
- (2) R is an Arf ring.

known results

Let *R* be a CM semi-local ring with dim R = 1. Then

- R is strictly closed \implies R is Arf. (Zariski)
- The converse holds if *R* contains a field. (Lipman)

- 4 同 1 4 三 1 4 三 1

Proof of
$$(2) \Rightarrow (1)$$

There is a filtration:

$$R \subseteq J : J \subseteq J^2 : J^2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq J^m : J^m \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \overline{R}$$

where J denotes the Jacobson radical of R. Define

$$R \subseteq R^J = \bigcup_{m \ge 0} [J^m : J^m] \subseteq \overline{R}.$$

For $n \ge 0$, we set

$$R_n = \begin{cases} R & \text{if } n = 0\\ R_{n-1}^{J(R_{n-1})} & \text{if } n \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

where $J(R_{n-1})$ stands for the Jacobson radical of R_{n-1} . Hence

$$R \subseteq R_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq R_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq R.$$

Naoki Endo (Purdue University)

Step 1

The equality
$$\overline{R} = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} R_n$$
 (= $\lim_{\to} R_n$) holds.

Step 2

The equality $R = R^*$ holds in R_n for $\forall n \ge 0$.

Lemma 2.2 (Key lemma)

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a CM local ring with dim R = 1. Suppose that $\mathfrak{m}^2 = z\mathfrak{m}$ for some $z \in \mathfrak{m}$. Let $R_1 \subseteq C \subseteq \overline{R}$ be an intermediate ring s.t. C is a finitely generated R-module and let

$$\alpha: \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_1} \mathcal{C}$$

be an R-algebra map s.t. $\alpha(x \otimes y) = x \otimes y$ for $\forall x, y \in C$. Then

$$\operatorname{Ker} \alpha = (0) :_{C \otimes_R C} z$$

holds.

Let $x \in R^*$ in \overline{R} and choose $n \ge 0$ such that $x \in R_n$. Since $\overline{R} = \lim_{\rightarrow} R_m$, we get

$$\overline{R} \otimes_R R_n \to \overline{R} \otimes_R \overline{R} = \lim_{\to} (\overline{R} \otimes_R R_m)$$
$$x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x \mapsto 0.$$

There exists $\ell \geq n$ such that

$$\overline{R} \otimes_R R_n \ \to \ \overline{R} \otimes_R R_\ell, \ x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x \ \mapsto \ 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{array}{rcl} R_n \otimes_R R_\ell & \to & \overline{R} \otimes_R R_\ell = \lim_{\to} \left(R_m \otimes_R R_\ell \right) \\ x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x & \mapsto & 0, \end{array}$$

there exists $p \ge n$ such that

$$R_n \otimes_R R_\ell \rightarrow R_p \otimes_R R_\ell, \ x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x \mapsto 0.$$

3

• • = • • = •

For $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $q \geq p$ and $q \geq \ell$, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} R_{p} \otimes_{R} R_{\ell} & \rightarrow & R_{p} \otimes_{R} R_{\ell} & \rightarrow & R_{q} \otimes_{R} R_{q} \\ x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x & \mapsto & 0 & \mapsto & 0 \end{array}$$

Therefore

$$x \in R_n \subseteq R_q$$
 and $x \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes x$ in $R_q \otimes_R R_q$

so that $x \in R^*$ in R_q . Thus $x \in R$. Hence $R = R^*$ in \overline{R} .

Theorem 2.3

Let R be a CM semi-local ring with dim R = 1. Then

R is strictly closed \iff R is Arf.

Hence, $\operatorname{Arf}(R) = R^*$ holds, provided \overline{R} is a finitely generated R-module.

A B F A B F

Theorem 2.4

Let R be a CM semi-local ring with dim R = 1. Then $R \text{ is } Arf \implies R^G \text{ is } Arf$

for every finite subgroup G of Aut R s.t. the order of G is invertible.

3

A B M A B M

3. Strictly closed rings

Question 3.1 What kind of rings are strictly closed?

Naoki Endo (Purdue University)

Arf closure versus strict closure

э

Theorem 3.2

Let R be a commutative ring and T an R-subalgebra of Q(R). Let V be a non-empty subset of T s.t. T = R[V]. If $fg \in R$ for all $f, g \in V$, then R is strictly closed in T.

Corollary 3.3

Let R be a commutative ring and $J = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ $(n \ge 3)$ an ideal of R s.t. $a_1^2 = a_2a_3$. Set $I = (a_2, a_3, ..., a_n)$ and consider

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(I) \subseteq \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{R}(J)$$

Then \mathcal{R} is strictly closed in \mathcal{T} , provided I contains a NZD on R.

Theorem 3.4

The Stanley-Reisner ring $R = k[\Delta]$ of Δ is strictly closed.

Naoki Endo (Purdue University)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Thank you for your attention.

3

<ロト < 同ト < 三ト < 三ト